

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO**

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

Ohio Organizing Collaborative, *et al.*,

vs.

Case No. 2:15-cv-1802

Jon Husted, *et al.*,

Judge Michael H. Watson

Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

Decision by Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

Decision by Court. This action was decided by the Court without a trial or hearing.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that pursuant to the May 24, 2016 Opinion and Order:

Plaintiffs are entitled to judgment on their equal protection *Anderson/Burdick* and Voting Rights Act claims based on S.B. 238 (Counts I & IV); Count VI is dismissed with prejudice; Count VII is dismissed as moot; judgment is entered in favor of Defendants on the remainder of Plaintiffs' claims according to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58, and those claims are dismissed with prejudice.

The Court:

A. DECLARES that S.B. 238's amendments to Ohio Revised Code § 3509.01 reducing the early in-person voting period from thirty-five days before an election to the period beginning the day following the close of voter registration are unconstitutional and in violation of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 and are accordingly unenforceable.

B. PERMANENTLY ENJOINS Defendants from enforcing and implementing S.B. 238's amendments to Ohio Revised Code § 3509.01 reducing the early in-person voting period from thirty-five days before an election to the period beginning the day following the close of voter registration.

Date: May 24, 2016

Richard W. Nagel, Clerk

s/ Jennifer Kacsor

By Jennifer Kacsor/Courtroom Deputy